

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | N NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,865 10/25/2001 | | 0/25/2001 | Stefan M. Pallazza | S63.2-9720 9976 | |
| 490 | 7590 12/11/2006 EXAMINER | | | | |
| VIDAS, ARI 6109 BLUE C | | STEINKRAUS, F | NGUYE | NGUYEN, VI X | |
| SUITE 2000 | IKCLE I | DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNETON | KA, MN | 55343-9185 | | 3734 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/682,865 | PALLAZZA, STEFAN M. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Victor X. Nguyen | 3734 | | |

| : | | Victor A. Nguyeri | 3734 | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| - ; | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REP | LY FILED 10 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. ⊠ The this plac a Re | reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which / FR 41.31; or (3) |
| | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | |
| , — | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce | of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. The filing a No | Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compg the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extentice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| AMENDM | ENIS e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a)[| They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | ccause |
| (b) [| They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | w); | 1 | |
| (c) [| They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | iter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) | They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | jected claims. | |
| ; | NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. 🔲 The | amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| | plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| non | wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al -allowable claim(s). | | | • |
| how | purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wivided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| | status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: <u>25 and 26</u> . | ٠. | | • |
| | im(s) objected to: 7-9,28 and 29. | | | |
| Clai | im(s) rejected: 1-6,11,12,14,22 and 64-67. | 4 07 and 20 62 | | - |
| | im(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10,13,15,20,21,2</u> IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | <u>4,27 and 30-63</u> . | • | |
| 8. The bec was | affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and |
| ente sho | affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1 |
| | e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. ⊠ Th <u>S</u> e | e request for reconsideration has been considered buse Continuation Sheet. | | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. 🔲 No | ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | her: ~ | | • | |
| ; | · | | | • |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | |

Continuation of 11. Applicant's argument filed 11/10/2006, with respect to the rejection of claims 25-26 under Gaudoin have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. Howver, upon further consideration, a rejection of claims 1-6,11,12,14,22 and 64-67 is made in view of Gaudoin can still be sustained. Applicant is asked to please refer to the previous Office action mailed on 9/19/2006, wherein the examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejections.

571-272-11 GC

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

MIHage